



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/844,063	04/27/2001	Philip D. Mooney	Mooney 68 9579		
7590 08/09/2004			EXAMINER		
Esther H. Chong, Esquire			PHU, SANH D		
Synnestvedt & Lechner LLP 2600 ARAMARK Tower			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
1101 Market Street			2682	6	
Philadelphia, PA 19107-2950			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/844,0	63	MOONEY, PHILIP D.			
		Examine		Art Unit			
	-	Sanh D P		2682			
	The MAILING DATE of this communic						
Period fo		••		•			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO maisons of time may be available under the provisions o SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evinication. days, a reply within the startory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tir tutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 09 June 2004.					
2a)□	·						
3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) <u>8,12,17,26 and 30</u> is/are obj Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from co and 31-33 is/are rejected to. ion and/or election r	ected.				
•	The specification is objected to by the		_				
10)) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
,—	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action	locuments have bee locuments have bee f the priority docum al Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receiv le 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)		_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F er No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/844,063

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Applicant's Response filed on 6/9/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 9-11, 13-16, 18-25, 27-29 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ayyagari et al (20010033554), (prior art of record), in view of Wang (6,173,041), (prior art of record).

-As per claims 1, 11, 13, 14, 16, 18, 19, 29, 31 and 32, see figures 1, 2, 8 and 9, and sections [0032]-[0046] and [0065]-[0072], Ayyagari et al discloses a method and an associated system for providing service record, comprising a first communication device (e.g., PICONET DEVICE 215) and a second communication device (e.g., PICONET DEVICE 215) (see figure 2), the

Art Unit: 2682

second communication device capable of providing services including modem based services using its modem (172) (see figure 1) to the first communication device wherein the method/system comprises:

step/means of generating by the second communication device, a service record identifying modem-based services that can be offered by the second communication device to the first communication device if a request or inquiry for such modem-based services is made by the first communication device to the second communication device (see (800), (810), (815) of figure 8).

In Ayyagari et al, step/means of determining whether the modem is present in the second communication device is inherent or obvious (for one skilled in the art when carrying out Ayyagari et al invention) to be performed by the second communication device to confirm the existence of the modem before the step of generating the service record identifying modem-based services to the first communication device so that based on the result of the confirmation, the second communication device would generate the service record identifying modem-based services accurately to the first communication device.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/844,063

Art Unit: 2682

Ayyagari et al does not disclose step/means of determining whether a proper phone line connection exists in the second communication device.

Wang teaches step (10) of determining whether a proper phone line connection with a modem exists for the modem accessing to an external network through the phone line (see figure 1, and col. 1, line 52 to col. 2, line 55).

In Ayyagari et al, if the modem-based services requires the modem (172) accessing to an external network through a phone line, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art, when carrying out Ayyagari et al invention, to implement step of determining whether a proper phone line connection exists between the phone line and the modem, as taught by Wang, so that based on the results of steps of determining whether the modem being present and determining whether a proper phone line connection exists between the phone line and the modem, the second communication device would generate the service record identifying modem-based services accurately to the first communication device.

Art Unit: 2682

-As per claims, 2, 4, 21, Ayyagari et al discloses that communicating the service record from the second communication device to the first communication device uses short-range wireless communication techniques (BLUETOOTH) (see figure 3).

-As per claim 3, Ayyagari et al discloses that the communicating is implemented by

Service Discovery Protocol (SDP) (415) installed in the first and second communication

Devices (see figure 4).

-As per claim 5, Ayyagari et al in view of Wang discloses step of detecting whether a phone line is plugged into a phone jack connected to the modem (see Wang, figure 1, col. 1 line 52 to col. 2, line 55).

-As per claim 6, Ayyagari et al in view of Wang discloses step of determining a voltage difference between wires of a phone line connected to the modem (see Wang, col. 1 line 52 to col. 2, line 55).

-As per claim 7, as discussed for claim 1, in Ayyagari et al invention, in view of Wang, the service record identifying the modem-based services is

Application/Control Number: 09/844,063

Art Unit: 2682

inherently generated if the first determining step determines that the modem is present in the second communication device, and if the second determining step determines that a proper phone line connection exists in the second communication device.

Page 6

-As per claim 9, Ayyagari et al in view of Wang discloses is inherent or obvious (for one skilled in the art when carrying out Ayyagari et al invention) to perform step of generating a message informing the first communication device that there is no proper phone line connection when the second determining step determines that no proper phone line connection exists in the second communication device as a reply of deny availability of the requested services (see Ayyagari et al, (825) of figure 8, and section [0065]).

-As per claim 10, Ayyagari et al in view of Wang discloses that the modem-based services could include a Dial-up Networking Gateway service (see Ayyagari et al, section [0044].

-As per claims 15, 20, Ayyagari et al discloses that he services could include a LAN access service (see Ayyagari et al, section [0044].

Application/Control Number: 09/844,063 Page 7

Art Unit: 2682

-Claim 22 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claims 1, 2, 4, 11, 13, 14, 16, 18, 19, 21.

- -Claim 23 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claim 3.
- -Claim 24 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claim 5.
- -Claim 25 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claim 6.
- -Claim 27 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claim 9.
- -Claim 28 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claim 10.
- -Claim 33 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claims 15 and 20.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 8, 12, 17, 26 and 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 6/9/04 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/844,063

Art Unit: 2682

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications

from the examiner should be directed to Sanh D Phu whose telephone number

is (703) 305-8635. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-16:30.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application

or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number

is 703-305-8635.

Sanh D. Phu

Page 8

Examiner

Art Unit 2682

SP